CHAPTER 5 CONSULTATION AND COORDINATION

5.1 Introduction

At the end of March, 2003, a notice was sent to the public, media, agencies, other organizations on the BLM California Desert District NEMO mailing list (about 1,500 names), and others interested in routes of travel within the CDCA describing the purpose of the current Routes of Travel plan amendment to designate routes within undesignated areas of the NEMO planning area. This notice invited participation in the planning effort and announced public scoping meetings. On April 18, 2003, a Notice of Intent was published in the Federal Register announcing the beginning of the planning process and preparation of an Environmental Assessment (EA).

The NEMO Routes planning area is located within portions of Mono, Inyo, and San Bernardino counties. During the preparation of this EA over the past one and a half years, BLM personnel have met periodically with federal, state, and local agencies, Native American tribal representatives, local and regional boards representing the public, interest groups and individuals to identify issues, develop the range of alternatives, and develop this analysis. Specific coordination activities continue. Planning Issues and Coordination Activities are summarized in this chapter, followed by a list of persons who receive the mailings for the NEMO Routes of Travel Planning effort and concluding with a list of Preparers of the NEMO Routes of Travel Plan Amendment and associated Environmental Assessment, to date.

5.2 Issues Summary

From May 5 through May 15, 2003, BLM conducted five public meetings to scope the NEMO Route Designation plan amendment and EA. These public meetings were held to get recommendations on the designation of vehicle routes within the NEMO planning area and the environmental assessment. Meetings were held in Yucca Valley, Las Vegas, Tecopa, Ridgecrest and Independence. During these meetings, members of the public provided both verbal and written comments to BLM for use in identifying issues, designing alternatives and conducting the environmental analysis. BLM received a rich array of comments during the scoping comment period, which ended June 2, 2003. Hundreds of comments were received by the public, county representatives, and other agencies, representing dozens of themes that broadly fell in three categories (planning, technical or analysis, and coordination issues. Table 5-1 summarizes the breadth and variety of scoping comments and relates how they are integrated into the planning process and environmental assessment. This table does not include route-specific comments that were received as the result of on-the-ground field trips after the end of the scoping period.

#	Cat ¹	Sub-category	Comment	Resolution		
1	С	Process	Have you coordinated your route designation planning with the Counties with regards to accessing via R.S. 2477 law? This should occur prior to any designations.	County coordination is occurring. RS 2477 assertions through a separate process.		
2	P	Analysis	BLM should address routes of travel through the County, including R.S. 2477 routes in a way that would not negatively affect local communities' socio-economic structure.	Considering local communities is socioeconomic impacts analysis to the extent feasible		
	Т	Previously Addressed	BLM should get input on R.S. 2477 routes within a reasonable timeframe before route designation	BLM is implementing CDCA Plan of 1980, and will coordinate with counties (See also #1).		
4	T	Information/ Opinion	NEMO Routes of Travel should be an EIS.	EA analysis will determine if EIS is appropriate.		
5	P	Analysis/ General Issue	NEMO Routes of Travel designation affects State, County, and Private lands. Therefore CEQA is warranted.	The State lead would make this determination.		
6	Т	Information	Information regarding NEMO routes of travel (maps/photos) is not being shown in a manner that meets NEPA standards.	The route network includes both overview network maps and 7.5 min. quads, which are standard for analysis. Would like additional info on how maps can better meet public needs.		
7	P	Access	NEPA calls for a No Action plan. Current 1985-1987 Maps (No Action) misses several R.S. 2477 and does not constitute a (viable) no-action.	In EA, include reasonable range of alternatives to 1985-1987 route designation baselines. Identify errors on maps. Additional specificity needed in comment—what route is not included in range of alternatives? No Action designates fewer routes than all other alternatives.		
8	С	Process	Maps should be provided in a timely manner to the public. Request 90-day scoping period to reach public in meaningful way.	routes than all other alternatives. A "public scoping period" is specific to the EIS process. Maps were provided to attendees of meetings based on requests for additional time to study and field-check the maps, and provide additional input. BLM will continue to obtain input until the EA is published for public review. The public has had 6 months to request maps and provide route-specific input.		

#	Cat ¹	Sub-category	Comment	Resolution	
9	P	Private Property Rights/ Data (Gen Issues)	The baseline, as now shown, does not provide access to private property located in the planning area.	Need more specific comments to adequately incorporate into alternatives. Will address on a thematic basis.	
10	Т	Data / Issues (General)	The baseline, as now shown, does not provide residents in this area with access to water.	Unspecific comment – water for what in the desert? Is that the role of the BLM on backcountry routes? Is there a specific route? Water resources will be generally addressed as scarce resources, to be considered for protection unless need to a specific water is identified.	
11	P/T	(General)/ provide connectivity between WEMO, alternativ		In EA, address issue of connectivity in all alternatives;	
	Scope many routes in DVNP and Ft Irwin are missing, including parts of S. Hwy 190, Panamint and Saline Valleys, Inyo & Choc Mountains, Homewood Canyon, connectivity.	Include overview map(s) to show overall network.			
			Panamint and Saline Valleys, Inyo &	Use GIS and adjacent plan maps to address connectivity.	
			and Talc City.	Routes not on public lands are outside the scope of this EA. Overall regional network connectivity as it relates to the public network will be shown.	
12	T	Data Issues (General)	Under FLPMA and other legislation, valid rights of way or easements are not identified under NEMO Routes of Travel	Address in EA Purpose and Need/ authorized vs. casual users and ROT designations.	
13	T	Information / Issues	NEMO ROT does not address the loss of economic, historic, or mining cumulative effects to the respective counties.	EA to address and tier analysis to existing NEMO analysis, particularly cumulative impacts.	
14	Т	Information / Issues	The only BLM office in Inyo County is in Bishop, CA, which is under the Bakersfield FO jurisdiction. WEMO and NEMO ROT are both out of SBCO. Interface for residents in Inyo County is difficult.	Ridgecrest would be the closest point of contact for information on the plans, and all information on the NEMO ROT planning effort should be available through email, website, or onsite at all involved Field Offices, including Ridgecrest Field Office. Future meetings will include Ridgecrest meetings, and periodic updates at the Inyo County Collaborative Planning Meetings, generally held in Lone Pine or Independence.	

#	Cat ¹	Sub-category	Comment	Resolution
15	Т	Information / Issues	I have seen the extensive impacts by off road vehicles, proliferation of trails, soil erosion, loss of vegetation, colonization & spread of invasive species, and in some habitats, the conversion that results from fires that are the result of an unnaturally high fuel source.	These will be addressed through the application of the route designation criteria, the decision tree which provides a mechanism for determining whether specific routes should be open, closed or limited, and the analysis of impacts, including cumulative impacts.
16	P	Access	Further reduce adverse impacts through route designations. Routes provide access into remote areas and therefore facilitate cumulative uses that combine eventually to cause serious impacts.	The range of alternatives, impact analysis and cumulative impacts analysis will address this concern.
17	T	Information / Issues	BLM should make route designation decisions that reflect not only the impacts of the physical route itself, but also the impacts over a much larger area that occur from the access that the route provides.	These impacts are the combination of many different factors, including levels and type of uses and sensitivities in adjacent areas. The issue will be addressed in the cumulative effects section, but some information will be the result of subsequent monitoring and adaptive management.
18	P	Outside Scope	I understand the primary driver for this current route designation process is to ensure adequate protection for the threatened DT under the ESA	No. CDCA Plan goal to designate routes, NEMO Plan & lawsuit (timelines only) are driversBLM will clarify in Purpose and Need.
19	Т	Access/ Analysis	Maintain routes as open if they serve a specific valid existing lawful right, such as inholder access, ROW, etc. Routes are also needed to important facilities or destinations (buildings, mines, campgrounds, etc.). Close redundant, unnecessary, and/or "braided" routes with little or no legitimate, legally required, or compelling reason to keep them open.	The range of alternatives will address these concerns, to the extent they can be linked to resources vs. values: (legitimacy may be in the eye of the beholder).

#	Cat ¹	Sub-category	Comment	Resolution
20	P	Analysis	Route designation process must carefully document the specific purposes for each route as well as its cumulative impacts so that an objective comparison is possible. Where impacts outweigh purposes, route should be closed; where purposes clearly outweigh impacts, the impacts should be mitigated and the route may remain open. This would simplify a confusing and complex process for the public.	See #15, we are documenting rationales using a Decision Tree process (modified West Mojave decision tree) that we anticipate will be easier to follow. Weighing is value-laden—see #19—in the eyes of the beholder, and will be reflected as feasible in range of alternatives, consistent with 43 CFR 8342.1
21	P	Analysis	A highly complex, confusing route designation process may allow arbitrary and inconsistent route decisions. This could uphold the status quo and/or undermine process.	Ibid #20 The maps and the draft plan amendment provide opportunities for feedback to minimize opportunities for specific route decisions to be inconsistent, based on incomplete information or error. The public should provide feedback, including rationales for decisions for route changes to make the final network as error-free as it can be.
22	P	Information	The outcome of the route designation process will ultimately be judged by how well the decisions protect sensitive resources and balance resource impacts with legitimate public purposes.	BLM will address the goals of Routes of Travel designation process in Purpose & Need – and tier then to the NEMO Plan (2002). See also range of alternatives and Proposed Action Alternative.
233	P	Analysis/ Planning Criteria	Route density (mi.) per square mile should be a consideration when evaluating the recommended status of a particular route. (See West Mojave decision tree as it addresses redundant routes, but some areas still had high densities after redundant routes addressed.)	Route densities would be arbitrary and capricious. The Decision Tree will determine to what extent there are there conflicts between sensitive resources (43 CFR 8342.1) and recreational opportunities for a route. If there are none, there would be no basis for closure. If there are conflicts, the Decision Tree would indicate whether they are best addressed through means other than closure or if the route should be closed. A high density of routes may provide other options, including future designation of non-motorized routes (e.g., mountain biking, equestrian) in high use areas for those activities.

#	Cat ¹	Sub-category	Comment	Resolution
24	P	Analysis/ Planning Criteria	In addition to the existing decision tree criteria, a quantitative limitation of route density should be included (a common goal is 2.0 miles per square mile) and that the route closure recommendations meet this goal.	At this point, no facts or evidence are provided for the 2 miles of routes per square mile criteria. Without a strong foundation it would be arbitrary and capricious. Would BLM need or be able to justify this kind of inflexibility in our process, when a few areas are very sensitive and many areas are to varying degrees, somewhat to substantially less sensitive?
25	Τ	Information/ Opinion	It is imperative that these lands be left open to the publicas they provide a safe, relaxing and enjoyable experience in this age of drugs and gangs and other negative youth-related activities.	In EA, address in Range of Alternatives (Enhanced Recreation Opportunities & Access Alternative).
26	Т	Maps	The maps need to be annotated by hand for the names of the canyons and mountain ranges where they are missing due to clipping the frame or border of the map.	In EA, Edit maps to respond to comment where they are essential to understand route network. Also, e these overview maps will be augmented by 7.5 minute quadrangle maps which will include the canyons and mountain ranges.
27	Т	Access/ Outside Scope	Since 1985-1987 designations, desert tortoise (DT) has been listed. Since the DT can not swim, all routes where there are perennial streams should be reexamined to allow access.	The area we are designating routes does not include desert tortoise recovery areas (DWMA) or category I habitat, although the lower two-thirds is desert tortoise habitat and therefore DT is a sensitive resource value. DT is not the primary issue driving route designation in the area we are evaluating—it is one consideration among many, including riparian and other water related resources.
28	P	Access/ Resources/Out side Scope	Keep Surprise Canyon route open to provide access to historic route; protect route washed out in the early 1990's; consider access before the washout; this route is very controversial and deserves an EIS	The BLM agrees that this route has become a focal point of interest and it therefore is being analyzed through a separate EIS planning process. The route was designated as open in1985 and is currently closed through a Federal Register notice until the current plan amendment and EIS are complete. This EA will not affect either the FR Notice or the outcome of the Surprise Canyon EIS. This EA will designate routes that access the canyon at its west end from the west, north and south.

BLM CDD

NEMO-Proposed Route Designation-Plan Amendment and EA

Table 5-1. NEMO Routes Scoping Period Comments and Resolution (April 18 – June 2, 2003)

#	Cat ¹	Sub-category	Comment	Resolution
29	P	Access	Provide access to the T&T railroad, including through the Amargosa Canyon	All sections of the T&T railroad will be evaluated for designation outside of the Amargosa ACEC. Within the Amargosa ACEC, non-motorized trails approved in 1983 will not be eliminated. This area was identified for non-motorized use because of its unique attraction for hiking, its habitat for unique endemic and migratory bird species, cultural resources, and the fragile state of the T&T in this area. These reasons have not changed and some of the unique species have now been listed.
30	P	Access/ Coordination	Furnace Creek Road should not be closed just because the Forest Service is closing their portion. BLM should evaluate their portion on its own merits, and it is not "riparian".	After discussion with the US Forest Service, BLM agreed to become a cooperator on their plan for all of Furnace Creek Road, including from the semicircular cutoff (F0890) to the USFS boundary. Directed this comment to their planning team.
31	Τ	Access	Keep all R.S. 2477 roads open.	BLM is implementing CDCA Plan of 1980, and will coordinate with counties. To the extent that R.S. 2477 assertions are made and processed, these assertions will be addressed as a factor in the alternatives.
32	P	Outside Scope	BLM has sold off all BLM shooting areas and should provide land through land sales to build ranges.	This issue is outside the scope of the NEMO Routes of Travel EA.
33	P	Outside Scope	BLM should build wildlife guzzlers as big cities lower their groundwater table.	This issue is outside the scope of the NEMO Routes of Travel EA.

#	Cat ¹	Sub-category	Comment	Resolution
34	P	Access	Prevent the pioneering and proliferating of ORV routes by providing routes that are maintained when needed, cross a variety of terrain, and critically important, provide opportunities for loop trails and links between trails so they comprise a complete trail system—with the opportunity to ride extended distances on fun trails without backtracking the need to proliferate routes will not be there. This is a more effective approach than closing routes, for which BLM does not have the resources to effectively patrol or keep signed.	In EA, address in Range of Alternatives with regards to opportunities, "closure", and with decision include compliance strategies to increase effectiveness of proposed action. Also address the issue of anticipated effectiveness of route network by alternative in impacts discussions.
35	P	Information/ Issue/ Planning Criteria	Designated routes should be kept out of riparian areas which should be fenced off if need be.	Address in criteria for the range of alternatives—include a variety of thresholds for riparian area from 43 CFR 8342.1 (EROA) to no riparian area routes unless they are major network connectors, to the extent feasible (Enhanced Resource Protection Alternative), with increasing flexibility for each alternative.
36	P	Information/ Issue	Defacing the desert with redundant routes must be eliminated.	In EA, address in range of alternatives
37	T	Information/ Issue	Closed routes must be eliminated from use and view in whatever way necessary and rehabilitated through vertical mulching or other organic structure installation techniques.	In EA, discuss under closed route: strategies/ techniques for implementation to be included with decision
38	Т	Information/ Issue	Educational notices for the public user should instruct at the beginning of each trailhead that users can only use those areas marked OPEN to them. BLM must clearly mark open trails.	In EA, discuss route signing strategies/ techniques and rationale—signing strategies to be included with proposed plan.
39	T	Information/ Issue	Motorized vehicles must be forbidden to use hiking and horse trails.	Have we clarified that we are only designating motorized routes of travel? Non-motorized trails are not addressed. Some undesignated trails are used by both types of users.

NEMO-Proposed Route Designation-Plan Amendment and EA

Table 5-1. NEMO Routes Scoping Period Comments and Resolution (April 18 – June 2, 2003)

¹ C=Coordination; P=Planning; T=Technical

#	Cat ¹	Sub-category	Comment	Resolution
40	T	Information/ planning criteria	Routes should be located to minimize damage to soil, watershed, vegetation, air or other resources on public lands.	43 CFR 8342.1 criteria for route designation — will be included in EA.
41	T	Information/ planning criteria	Routes should not be located in officially designated wilderness or primitive areas.	43 CFR 8342.1 criteria for route designation — will be included in EA.
42	Т	Information/ Issue	Enforcement of designated route integrity is important and can perhaps be conducted more economically and in more public-friendly ways by park rangers and maintenance staff instead of Law Enforcement personnel. But, whichever works best, it should be used by BLM.	In proposed plan/decision address opportunities, compliance and include strategies to increase effectiveness of proposed action.
43	P	Information/ Issue	Wildlife and wildlife habitat must be protected. See also Comment #15.	These will be addressed through the application of the route designation criteria, the decision tree which provides a mechanism for determining whether specific routes should be open, closed or limited, consultation with USFWS for potentially affected listed species, and the analysis of impacts, including cumulative impacts.
44	С	Process	Thank you for preparing and sending out CD-ROMs of the NEMO route maps. I appreciate your quick response to the clamor of requests for maps.	No response needed.

Scoping issues for NEMO Routes of Travel include the following:

- Issues concerning how decisions will be made related to the designation of Routes of Travel
- Issues related to providing materials for feedback in time to have their concerns on routes considered
- Issues related to resource sensitivities, definitions of redundancy, and cumulative effects of route designations
- Issues concerning maintenance of network access to casual users
- Concerns about County and adjacent jurisdiction coordination

BLM CDD

NEMO-Proposed Route Designation-Plan Amendment and EA

Several scoping issues came up multiple times in the public meetings that are outside the NEMO Routes of Travel planning effort and fall broadly into five categories. These are not included in the table, except to further clarify how they are specifically addressed in the planning document or EA:

- Issues related to RS2477 assertions, specific land use permits, and mineral exploration and development permits which are handled through separate processes
- Issues that are not related to the designation of Routes of Travel within undesignated portions of the NEMO planning area
- Issues related to designation of Surprise Canyon Road or Furnace Creek Road, which are being handled in separate planning processes
- Issues related to the passage or implementation of the California Desert Protection Act or the Fort Irwin Military Land Withdrawal Act of 2001
- Issues that are larger in scope than the NEMO planning area and which can only be addressed at another level (e.g., CDCA wide, Bureau-wide) and this amendment (see Chapter 1 Purpose and Need) will not be analyzing effects or making decisions for any areas outside the NEMO Routes planning area.

Briefings on the development and status of the NEMO Routes plan amendment were regularly provided for interest groups. These briefings included opportunities to review and comment on the route inventories and maps that showed the various alternatives route networks. Various interest groups have been directly included in this process, including the California Desert District Advisory Council, Searles Valley Community Services Council, Owens Peak Group of the Sierra Club, and the Ridgecrest BLM Steering Committee. Individuals and groups went on field trips to provide route-specific input to the alternatives during the spring and autumn of 2003, culminating in a set of alternatives for preliminary review and analysis in the fall of 2003.

Intergovernmental and Interplan Coordination

BLM has met with representatives of all three counties during the planning process. These briefings included the EA process, route inventories, the range of alternatives and the Proposed Action, and update briefings on the status of the EA. Representatives that were briefed and consulted included the County boards of supervisors, County planning departments and County collaborative planning teams.

Native American consultation was initiated on June 5, 2003 with the Timbisha, Fort Mojave, Las Vegas Band of Piute, and Chemehuevi tribes. The SHPO survey strategy discussed in the next paragraph and Chapter 1 of the EA is also being applied as appropriate to Native American sites. The three phased survey strategy for routes of travel includes ongoing tribal consultation when surveys include tribal finds.

State Historic Preservation Office consultation was initiated on October 8, 2003 consistent with Section II C of the state protocol agreement between BLM and SHPO for the NEMO planning area in conjunction with routes of travel designation. SHPO was asked to provide comments on issues and alternatives specific to historic and prehistoric properties in the planning area and a specific Routes of Travel survey strategy was developed. Information received from SHPO has been taken in to account in our analysis,

NEMO-Proposed Route Designation-Plan Amendment and EA

route designation decision tree, and decision-making process. Impacts to cultural resources are also considered in the context of the National Environmental Policy Act, and conservation measures have been developed as part of the Proposed Action to avoid or mitigate impacts, where appropriate.

Wildlife agencies have been consulted during the planning process on federally and State-listed species under the Endangered Species Act of 1973, amended, and the California Endangered Species Act, respectively.

The BLM has determined that the threatened desert tortoise may be adversely affected by the proposed Routes of Travel designation. The Bureau has requested formal consultation with the Service on the effects of the proposed action on the desert tortoise. Similarly, the Bureau is consulting with the Service, and has requested the Service's concurrence with the Bureau's determination that the Routes of Travel Proposed Action may affect, but is not likely to adversely affect, the least Bell's vireo and the southwestern willow flycatcher. The Bureau has determined that the proposed action would not affect the following species:

- Inyo California towhee (threatened)
- Ash Meadows gumplant (threatened) and critical habitat, and
- Amargosa niterwort (endangered) and its critical habitat.
- Amargosa vole (endangered) and its critical habitat.

Given the court timeline for this plan amendment, meetings were not held with all adjacent planning teams for comparisons of networks across planning areas. Existing GIS layers and maps from recently published Plans were used to determine if routes continued into adjacent jurisdictions, without ground-truthing all routes and phone discussion with adjacent planning team leads was used to confirm findings.

BLM CDD

NEMO-Proposed Route Designation-Plan Amendment and EA

5.3 List of Preparers

Consultation, Contributors, and Preparers List

BLM - CA. State Office

Mike Pool California State Director
Tony Danna Core Management Team
Jack Mills CASO Planning Lead
Ken Wilson CASO Lead Archaeologist

Tim Smith CASO Outdoor Recreation Planner

BLM - CA. Desert District

Linda Hansen District Manager—Core Management Team
Alan Stein ADM: Lands, Minerals, & Renewable Resources

Joan Oxendine Archaeologist

BLM - Ridgecrest Field Office

Hector Villalobos Core Management Team
Steve Smith Project Coordinator-RFO
Craig Beck Outdoor Recreation Planner

Robert Parker Biologist

Glenn Harris Rangeland Ecologist & Botanist

Randy Porter Geologist
Judyth Reed Archaeologist

BLM - Needles Field Office

Larry MorganCore Management TeamLesly SmithProject Coordinator-NFOChristi OliverProject Coordinator-NFOGary SharpeResources Branch Chief

Ken Downing Acting Resources Branch Chief

John Murray Archaeologist Richard Waggoner Realty Specialist

Alicia Rabas Biologist

BLM - Barstow Field Office

Roxie Trost Project Manager NEMO Management Team

Harold Johnson Core Management Team

Edy Seehafer Resources Branch Chief Project Coordinator-BFO Charles Sullivan Biologist/Botanical Lead/Consultation POC Amy Lawrence Archaeological Lead/Consultation POC

Becki Gonzales Realty Specialist

Brad Mastin Outdoor Recreation Planner

Shelly Jackson GIS - NEMO Core Management Team

Jamie Livingood Geologist, GIS Technican

BLM CDD

NEMO-Proposed Route Designation-Plan Amendment and EA

Anteon Corporation

Ron Pitman Document Writer/Editor

U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service

Doug Threiloff Biologist

California Department of Fish &Game

Becky Jones Biologist

County Government

Leslie Klusmire Inyo County Planning Director
Jeffrey Jewett Inyo County Public Works Director
Scott Burns Mono County Planning Director

Greg Newberry Mono County Assistance Planning Director Randy Scott San Bernardino County Planning Department

5.4 Agencies and Persons Receiving Document

ORGANIZATION	FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	ST	ZIPCODE
	PI	ADAM	CA	90232-3237
KERN CO WILDLIFE RESOUCES COMMISSION	ROBERT D	ADDISON	CA	93301-2416
USDA NATURAL RESOURCES CONSERVATION SERVICES	RICK	AGUAYO	CA	92392-7741
	MR JOSEPH S	AKLUFI	CA	92501-3653
	DR ADOLPH	AMSTER	CA	93555
CNPS	MS ILEENE	ANDERSON	CA	90046-1201
	ORLO	ANDERSON	CA	92405-1901
DEATH VALLEY NATIONAL PARK	RICHARD L	ANDERSON	CA	92328-0579
O BAR O CATTLE CO	TERRY	ANDERSON	CA	92256
CALIFORNIA OFF ROAD VEHICLE ASSOC	JAMES F.	ARBOGAST	CA	92804-3210
SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY LIBRARY	COUNTY	ARCHIVES	CA	92415-1005
TIERRA DEL SOL 4WD CLUB	ROLAND EDDIE	ARNOLD	CA	92109-2135
DESERT ADVISORY COUNCIL	PRESTON J.	ARROW-WEED	CA	92243
	PETER	AVENSSON	CA	95062-3441
	DWIGHT	AXTELL	CA	93527
	MARY	BALL	NV	89003-0837
	RANDY	BANIS	CA	93534-2839
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON	DWIGHT L	BARNES	CA	92374-6953
SIERRA CLUB-CA/NV DESERT CMTE	GEORGE G.	BARNES	CA	94306-2617
WASHOE TRIBAL COUNCIL	MARIE	BARRY	NV	89408
	BOB	BARUS	NV	89048
SHOSHONE RINGS	MR MELVEN (MEL)	BAUER	NV	89020-0191
	TOM	BAUER	CA	92277-0431
	MR HOWARD A	BAXTER	NH	3257
KERN COUNCIL OF GOVTS	MARILYN J.	BEARDSLEE	CA	93301-4400
NATIONAL CHLORIDE CO OR AMERICA	TOM	BEEEHLY	CA	90651
MOJAVE DESERT RESOURCE SERVICES	DENNIS	BENSON	CA	92364-9735
DESERT ADVISORY COUNCIL	BILL	BETTERLEY	CA	92307
CATELLUS COMMERCIAL DEVELOPMENT COR	JOHN E	BEZZANT	СО	80228-2226
	ESTHER	BITTER	CA	92507-5850
GREAT PLAINS RIAN FOREST	MR RUSSELL	BLALACK	CA	95014-5008

ORGANIZATION	FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	ST	ZIPCODE
	CAROL S	BLANKERS	CO	81504-6057
	SAM	BLEDSOE	CA	95606-9711
	MICHAEL	BORDENAVE	CA	93728-2941
	EUGENE P	BOULWARE JR	CA	91790
	LARRY	BOYER	CA	93527
	A	BRADFORD	CA	95023-9605
	CHRIS	BRADY	CA	91768
	DR. BAYARD	BRATTSTROM	ΑZ	85360-0166
	KALMAR	BRAUNER	WA	98109-1822
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA	DR WILLIAM L	BRETZ	CA	92697-0001
CALTRANS	MIKE	BREWSTER	CA	92110-2799
	ROBERT G	BRITTON	CA	91010-1409
SHOSHONE MUSEUM ASSOCIATION	BRIAN	BROWN	CA	92384
DIVISION OF NATURAL SCIENCES	DR ALLEN G	BROWN	CA	92832-2095
DESERT ADVISORY COUNCIL	HOWARD	BROWN	CA	92307
BROWNBERRY BIOLOGICAL CONSULTING	DR PATRICIA E	BROWN	CA	93514-7120
	JAMES	BROWN	ΑZ	85636-2126
FRIENDS OF INYO MTS WILDERNESS	ТОМ	BUDLONG	CA	90049-1016
DESERT ADVISORY COUNCIL	JANES	BUGERA	CA	93505
STATE OF NEVADA CLEARINGHOUSE	JULIE	BUTLER	NV	89701-4298
SOCIETY FOR BIGHORN SHEEP	ROBERT	CAMPBELL	CA	92345-1642
	MARIO R	САРОТЕ	CA	92325
ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT ASSOCIATES	DWIGHT	CAREY	CA	92821-3108
	JOHN	CAREY	CA	92128
US BORAX INC EXPLORATION DEPT	STEVEN	CARPENTER	CA	91355-1847
	CHRISTINE	CARRAHER	CA	92277-0935
	JEREMY	CARROLL	IN	46226-4184
	MR CRESCENT PAUL	CAVANAUGH	CA	92083-8112
	SAMANTHA	CHAPPEL	OR	97202-3401
BARRICK MANAGEMENT CORP	ROCKY	CHASE	NV	89803-0010
	BOB	CHILDERS	AK	99501-4355
	BRYAN	CLARK	CA	92345-3466
	VERN	CLIFFE	_	91024-2100
	DAN	CLONINGER	CO CA	89117-3340

ORGANIZATION	FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	ST	ZIPCODE
	PHILLIPE S	COHEN	CA	94305
UNIV OF CA IRVINE GOV INFO DEPT	MS KAY	COLLINS	CA	92623-9557
	VAN	COLLINSWORTH	CA	92071-1050
DESERT TORTOISE PRESERVE CMTE	MICHAEL J	CONNOR	CA	92501
	LINDA	COOPER	CA	92389
SIERRA CLUB FIELD OFFICE	BILL	CORCORAN	CA	90010-1904
	SHERRY	COSGROVE	CA	93530
NEWS REVIEW	PATTI	COSNER	CA	93555-3848
CONGRESSMEN HOWARD McKEON	KURT	COURTNEY	DC	20515
	PATRICIA EMMA	COUVEAU	CA	92324
	MR WARD	CUMBIE	CA	92653-5019
	BRENDAN	CUMMNGS	CA	92549-0493
	LAURA	CUNNINGHAM	NV	89003-0070
	RICK	CUTLER	CO	80403-0110
	DENNIS	DAVIE	CA	95010
INLAND EMPIRE FILM COMMISSION	MS SHERI	DAVIS	CA	92408-3556
	ESTELLE	DELGADO	CA	92392
	PATRICK J.	DEMPSEY	CA	90630
SAN DIEGO OFF ROAD COALITION DESERT ADVISORY COUNCIL	MR ROY E	DENNER	CA	92040-1219
	DAVID	DEWENTER	NV	89009
WESTERN MINING COUNCIL	DR DORIS EL	DIETEMANN	CA	90077-3104
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH ALABAMA	LARY M.	DILSAVER	AL	36688-0002
	ART	DIXON	NV	89162
INYO COUNTY BD OF SUPERVISORS DISTRICT 5	MICHAEL A	DORAME	CA	93545-9749
DESERT LOCATION SERVICES	MR AND MRS MIKE	DOUGHERTY	CA	92309-0044
SAN MANUEL RESERVATION	HENRY	DURO	CA	92346
		EDVERHOLTZER	CA	92201
UNIV OF CALIF RIVERSIDE	PROFESSOR WILFRED A	ELDERS	CA	92521-0423
STATE OF NEVADA CLEARINGHOUSE	MS HEATHER K	ELLIOTT	NV	89701-4298
OFF ROAD BUSINESS ASSOCIATION	JOHN	ELLIS	CA	92071
	MR KEVIN	EMMERICH	NV	89003-0070
	CHRIS S	ERVIN	CA	92688-3185
	NED	ESCAIP	CA	91367-4314
USGS	TODD	ESQUE	NV	89074

ORGANIZATION	FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	ST	ZIPCODE
	JOSEPH L	EVANS	CA	92363-2511
	JULIE	EVENS	CA	95818
DESERT MANAGER'S GROUP	CLARENCE	EVERLY	CA	92311-2366
EDAW, INC.	MARK	FARMAN	CA	95814-3120
	BONNIE	FERGUSON	CA	93536-3151
LILBURN CORP	NANCY M	FERGUSON	CA	92408
	DONALD	FINCH	CA	93555-4900
MORONGO BASIN CONSERVATION ASSN	PAT	FLANAGAN	CA	92277
	PAUL	FLANDERS	CA	91007-8204
DEATH VALLEY NATIONAL PARK	MR EDWARD F	FORNER	CA	92328-0579
	CHARLES	FOX	CA	94121-3452
FRIENDS OF DUMONT DUNES	THOMAS	FREI	CA	92345-5148
	TERRY L	FREWIN	CA	93130
INST FOR POLICY RES NW UNIV	PROF H PAUL	FRIESEMA	IL	60208-4100
MOJAVE DESERT AQMD	CHARLES L	FRYXELL	CA	92392-2310
CSU DESERT STUDIES CENTER	ROBERT E.	FULTON	CA	92309
	ROBERT DEL	GAGNON	CA	92260-4022
	MR AND MRS A R	GARTNER	CA	92277
	MICHAEL	GARVIN	CA	94965-1776
RECREATIONAL FISHING ALLIANCE	RONALD B	GAUL	CA	92259-0031
	JOE	GAUTSH	CA	92866-1216
	MR ROBERT S	GLOVER	CA	90745-3910
MOJAVE DESERT AQMD	RICK	GLUCK	CA	92256-1201
	BRAD	GOANOS	CA	92389
	GEORGE E.	GOEMANS	CA	92277-9218
CA DEPT OF TRANSPORTATION	PAUL	GONZALES	CA	92401-1400
	PAUL D	GORDON	CA	93528
	PATRICE	GOULD	CA	93527-0512
	MARGARET ANN	GRANIS	CA	93592
	C TAD	GRAVES	CA	92845-2116
CA DEPT OF TRANSPORTATION	VICTORIA	GREEN	CA	92401-1400
	PAUL H.	GREENBERG	CA	92347-9534
	MIKE	GROSS	OR	97329-0768
	MS KATHY	GROSS	CA	93522-0009
CALIFORNIA WILDERNESS COALITION	KEITH	HAMMOND	CA	95616-3073
THE NEWBERRY PRESS	MR NORMAN E	HANCOCK JR	CA	92365-9487
AMERICAN HIKING SOCIETY	GAIL W	HANNA	CA	92109-6217
	MS KATHRYN L.	HANSON	CA	92649
NEVADA DIVISION OF WILDLIFE, SO REGION	BRAD	HARDENBROOK	NV	89108

BLM CDD Chapter 5. **NEMO-Proposed Route Designation-Plan Amendment and EA**

ORGANIZATION	FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	ST	ZIPCODE
SKY ISLAND ALLIANCE	TREVOR	HARE	ΑZ	85717-1165
SIERRA CLUB KERN KAWEAH CHAPTER	STANLEY & JEANIE	HAYE	CA	93555-4404
	GARY	HAYES	NV	89120-2636
WESTERN MINING COUNCIL	MR MERWYN H.	НЕМР	CA	91325-1563
WILDERNESS TRANSITIONS INC	DR & MRS JOHN	HENDEE	CA	94965-1721
	DR. JOHN	HIATT	NV	89123
	DR GENE A	HIEGEL	CA	92831-2014
	MR & MRS REGINALD	HILL	CA	92311
QUADSTATE COUNTY GOV COALITION	GERALD E	HILLIER	CA	92402-0480
	JAQUE	HILLMAN	CA	93545-0870
	JAMES	HINES	CA	93006-6058
	ANDY	HIPPERT	CA	90630-4163
PUBLIC LANDS FOR THE PEOPLEINC	MR GERALD	HOBBS	CA	92405-4711
NATIONAL PARK SERVICE	MR JIM S	HOLLAND	NV	89005-2426
	SHIRLEE	HORA	CA	92342-2476
	HENRY F	HOWISON	CA	93221-9729
	RONALD D	HUGHES	ΑZ	86402-6697
	MR JERRY J	HUTCHINS	CA	92138-4899
	JON	INSKEEP	CA	91011-1616
	THOMAS	JACKSON	ΑZ	86401
	DON	JACOBSON	CA	95945-2167
	SERGIO M.	JARAMILLO	NV	89512
INYO COUNTY PUBLIC WORKS	JEFFERY	JEWETT	CA	93526
	KAREN	JOHNSON	IN	46660-6516
	MOLLY	JOHNSON	CA	93451-9527
	TAMRA	JOHNSON	CA	93555
WILDERNESS COALITION	BRYN	JONES	CA	92501
	MR WILLIAM	JONES	CA	90014-1907
	ROBERT & BARBARA	JORGENSEN	CA	92252
ECOLOGY & ENVIRONMENT, INC	AARTY	JOSHI	CA	94104
	DOUG	KARI	CA	92651
CITY OF INDIAN WELLS	CURRIE D	KATES	CA	92210-7497
DESERT ADVISORY COUNCIL	RON	KEMPER	CA	92346
	JIM	KENDALL	CA	92626-1610
	PATRICIA	KENOWSKY	CA	92285-0087
	ZEV	KEPPLEMAN	CA	94117-1262
	JOHN	KERBER	CA	91007-7769
THE DESERT CMTE (SIERRA CLUB)	ЛМ	KILBERG	CA	90045-3917

BLM CDD Ch NEMO-Proposed Route Designation-Plan Amendment and EA

ORGANIZATION FIRST NAME LAST NAME ST ZIPCODE R DAVID KIMBREL 92806-4941 CA NV JERRY L. **KING** 89137 KIRIN 92553 MR KEN C. CA KO 92389 MYEUNG CA **CORVA** MR PAUL KOBER CA 91402-5419 UNIV OF CALIF RIVERSIDE MARILYN KOOSER CA 92521-0423 MR CHARLES R KOPENEC 91365-0038 CA DANIEL KOPULSKY 92401-1400 CA DEPT OF TRANSPORTATION CA SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY PHILIP KRAUSE CA 92415-0763 HARRY J. KRUEPER 92407-3728 CA MR AND MRS KUEHL CA 92345-0499 DENNIS C MR CHARLES K CA 92307-3052 TOWN OF APPLE VLLEY LACLAIRE LAKE MINERALS LAMOS 93545-0037 PAUL CA PETER LANCHIOU 85716-3809 AZJOHN LAND NV 89123 JACQUE LAWHEAD CA 92389 **PEGMATITE** SHIRLEY LEESON CA 91942-4312 DENNIS 92311-5841 LEETCH CA MR DAVID J LEGTERS CA 91767-5623 DESERT ADVISORY COUNCIL WALLY 92243 LEIMGRUBER CA IMPERIAL COUNTY SUPERVISOR CYCLE WORLD MAGAZINE JIMMY LEWIS CA 92663-2752 LICHTY 95405-5146 ROBERT CA MR DONALD E LINDSLEY CA 92123-3554 WES LINGERFELT CA 93444-0176 MR ED C LITTY CA 91006 RAYMOND V. LOPEZ CA 91030-4613 ROBERT & RUTH LOPEZ CA 92363 JIM MACEY CA 93530 CAROLINE DIANE MADDOCK CA 92660-6844 RUSS G MADSEN CA 90808-2095 RAY DAN 85258-5200 MARTIN AZSAN MANUEL BAND OF MISSION DERON MASQUEZ CA 92369 INDIANS 93555 MATHEWS CA DAVID A UNIV OF CA COOP EXTENSION AGRI & KARL CA 92415-0730 McARTHUR NATURAL RESOURCES MR JOHN D McCARTY CA 91006-1844 STEPHEN P. CA 90631-6222 **McDERMOTT** FRIENDS OF THE INYO PAUL 93541-0064 McFARLAND CA GERALD & PEGGY McINNES NV 89060 DESERT SURVIVORS DAVID R McMULLEN 94703-2304 CA JEFF McNAIR CA 92843-3136

BLM CDD Ch NEMO-Proposed Route Designation-Plan Amendment and EA

ORGANIZATION	FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	ST	ZIPCODE
	JERRY	McNIGHT	NV	89049-0153
DESERT ADVISORY COUNCIL KERN COUNTY SUPERVISOR	JON	McQUISTION	CA	93301-4639
US FISH & WILDLIFE SERVICE, SO NV FIELD OFFICE	PHIL	MEDICA	NV	89130-2301
	RENE L	MENDEZ CAO	CA	93526
HI DESERT MULTIPLE USE COALITION	SAM	MERK	CA	93555
	CHARLES D.	MILLER	CA	91024-2123
SIERRA CLUB	JON	MILLER	CA	92345-2150
WILDERNESS SOCIETY	SALLY	MILLER	CA	93541-0442
	MACK	MITCHELL	CA	92373-6839
NEVADA UNITED FOUR WHEELERS ASSN (NUFWA)	BLAKE	MONK	NV	89108
EARLY BRONCOS LIMITED	MR JOE	MONROE	CA	92064-2928
	RICHARD R.	MOON	CA	90630-2008
SAN DIEGO OFF ROAD COALITION	MR RICHARD L	MOORE	CA	92020-3027
	TONY	MORIN JR	CA	93555
	DR MRS ORVILLE	MULLENNIX	CA	92029-4442
	ED	MURPHY	CA	92887-3333
	CHARLES D.	MUSSER	NV	89102-3819
	FRED	NATALY	NV	89109-1495
TIERRA DEL SOL FOUR WHEEL DRIVE CLUB	PETE	NELSON	CA	92117
	BILL	NELSON JR	NV	89061
INLAND EMPIRE NEWS RADIO	JIM	NESS	CA	92507-6324
	TERESA	NEWETH	CA	95050-4250
	THELMA E.	NEWMAN	CA	92313-5908
BUREAU OF INDIAN AFFAIRS	MR WAYNE C	NORDWALL	ΑZ	85001-0010
	MR JAMES M	NORRIS	CA	93105-4449
		OCCUPANT	CA	92389
	ERIK	OLAFSSON	CA	94516
	JIM	ORNDOFF	NV	89149-1391
COLORADO RIVER INDIAN TRIBES	BETTTY	ORNELIUS	AZ	85344-9704
	ROBERT J	ORR	CA	95814
	NANCY L.	OTT	CA	92345-6536
KERN COUNTY PLANNING DEPARTMENT	LORELEI	OVIATT	CA	93301-2323
	THEO C	PACKARD	CA	91604-3719
	DOUG	PARHAM	CA	93590-3241
	VIVIAN	PARKER	CA	95667-7422
	MARVIN	PATCHEN	CA	92036

BLM CDD Chapter 5. **NEMO-Proposed Route Designation-Plan Amendment and EA**

ORGANIZATION	FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	ST	ZIPCODE
CENTER FOR BIODIVERSITY	MR DANIEL	PATTERSON	CA	92549-0493
	HERMAN A	PAULK	CA	92407-2213
	ALAN	PECKHAM	NV	89120-3304
	ANDREW	PEKARY	CA	92240-6928
	NOELLE	PELLOWSKI	ОН	45133-9324
	ALEXANDRA	PHARMAKIDS	RI	02906-5711
	KEN & PAT	PIERZINA	CA	92356
URS	DR JOSEPH	PLATT	ΑZ	85718-5876
	WILLIAM G.	POOLE	CA	90265-3755
CA STATE LANDS COMMISSION	JIM	PORTER	CA	95825-8202
CSU FULLERTON COLLEGE DESERT ADVISORY COUNCIL	DR WILLIAM	PRESCH	CA	92834-6850
	GARY	PRESTON	CA	91790-2539
CA DEPT OF FISH AND GAME	DENYSE	RACINE	CA	93514
CALIFORNIA PORTLAND CEMENT CO	JOHN W	RAINS	CA	91741-4692
US BORAX	MR MICHAEL H	RAUSCHKOLB	CA	91355-1846
	PARRELL	READMOND	CA	91390
	CHERYL	REIFF	CA	92104
	KENNETH M.	REIM	NV	89134-7814
	FRANCIS L	RELAFORD	CA	91976-0098
NEEDLES DESERT STAR	MR ROBIN L	RICHARDS	CA	92363-2935
	DON	RICHARDSON	NC	28712
	RANDY	RISTER	CA	92243
	JULIE	RITTER	MI	48808-9711
DESERT ADVISORY COUNCIL	RICHARD	ROBINSIN	CA	93726-5594
DIRECTOR, MOUNTAIN DEFENSE LEAGUE	PANDORA	ROSE	CA	92065-3543
	MR STEPHEN	ROSS	CA	91107-1638
	WILLIAM B.	ROY	CA	92706-2030
	JANN	RUCQUOI	CA	92389
	KATHARINE E	RUDOLPH	CA	91030-4906
	CORNEL	RYLAARSDAM	CA	90706-6732
AMA DIST 37	BOB	SACKETT	CA	92807
MONACHE ASSOCIATES	DION	SALFEN	CA	91361-4118
	WILLIAM G.	SANDERS	CA	91343-1830
	GERALD	SAUER	CA	94028
	DR. JOHN H.	SAWYER	CA	95521
CORVA	BUD	SCHICK	CA	90250-8404
HI DESERT MULTIPLE USE COALITION DESERT ADVISORY COUNCIL	RON	SCHILLER	CA	93556-1167
	ROBERT A.	SCHLATTER	CA	90260-2313
DEATH VALLEY 49ERS	MR EARL F.	SCHMIDT	CA	94301
CSU FULLERTON COLLEGE	DR ALLAN A	SCHOENHERR	CA	92832

BLM CDD Ch NEMO-Proposed Route Designation-Plan Amendment and EA

ORGANIZATION	FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	ST	ZIPCODE
	JERRY	SCHULT	NV	89041
SBCO CHIEF OF ENV DIV PLANNING	RANDY	SCOTT	CA	92415-0182
	BRUCE	SEATON	CA	90630
	BILL	SEFTON	CA	92108
MEROPLITAN WATER DISTRICT	MRS DELAINE W	SHANE	CA	90054-0153
	MR THOMAS B	SHARPE	CA	92404-2406
HOOVED ANIMAL HUMANE SOCIETY	MR ARTHUR G	SHELBUR	CA	92504-4333
	MEL	SHOCKLEY	CA	92404-5117
	MERCY	SIDBURY	CA	95472-2017
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY	DR LEON T.	SILVER	CA	91125-0001
	DAVID	SLATER	CA	93555-2412
INYO CO PLANNING DEPT	ATTN: COURTNEY	SMITH	CA	93526
DERT	c/o JERRY	SMITH	CA	92277
DESERT ADVISORY COUNCIL	PAUL	SMITH	CA	92277
	SKYLER AND DEBBIE	SMITH	CA	93555
SORRELS & KEEFER	CHUCK	SORRELS	NV	89101-7020
DEPT OF MATHEMATICS WASHINGTON UNIV	EDWARD	SPETZVOGEL	МО	63130
	RICHARD	SPINING	CA	90622
	MR RICHARD	SPOTTS	UT	84770-6009
KVMR-FM	ALAN A.	STAHLER	CA	95959
SILVER VALLEY REALTY	FRED	STEARN	CA	9235-9716
	MS JOAN	STEINER	CA	92606
BUREAU OF LD MGT LVFO	JEFFREY G.	STEINMETZ	NV	89130
	ED A	STEVENS	CA	90815-2035
GREG STEWART PHOTOGRAPHY	GREG	STEWART	CA	92651-3125
UNITED FOUR WHEEL DRIVE ASSN	JOHN H	STEWART	CA	92040-5040
TOWN OF YUCCA VALLEY	MR. MIKE	STEWART	CA	92284
	ED	STIRLING	CA	90650-7835
	ROBERT	STRUB	CA	93592
TRANSPORTATION AND LAND MANAGEMENT	EDWIN D	STUDOR	CA	92501-3679
INTL SOC PROTECTION OF MUSTANGS BUR	MS KAREN A	SUSSMAN	SD	57636-0055
	PETER	SWOLAK	AZ	85650
DESERT SURVIVORS	MR STEVE	TABOR	CA	94620-0991
	STEV E	TABOR	CO	80525-6161
	ROBYN	TAFT	CA	95012

ORGANIZATION	FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	ST	ZIPCODE
	MR CHARLES W	TALMADGE	CA	92557-5940
	MR ROY H	THOMS	CA	92398-0727
CHEMEHUEVI TRIBE	DAVID J	TODD	CA	92363
DEATH VALLEY 49ERS	MR & MRS HUGH C	TOLFORD	CA	91401-5722
	BILL AND LA VELLA	TOMLINSON	CA	92311-2906
	DAVID W	TONKISS	CA	91221-5779
	ROERT AND DEBI	TRENT	CA	92028-8601
	MR LEWIS W	TROUT	CA	92311-5751
TROWBRIDGE RANCH REAL ESTATE	JOHN B	TROWBRIDGE	CA	92627-3625
LAKE MEAD NRA	KENT	TURNER	NV	89005-2426
MK GOLD COMPANY	LARRY L	TURNER	NV	89046
VALLEY PROSPECTORS	CHUCK	UCKER	CA	95240
	MR. ROBERT D.	VALESKI	CA	92647-4901
NATURAL RESOURCES DEFENSE COUNCIL	MS JOHANNA	WALD	CA	94105
	ANDREW	WALDE	CA	92342
CALIFORNIA OFF-ROAD VEHICLE ASSOC	MR EDWARD H	WALDHEIM	CA	91214
	MR WILLIE W	WALKER	CA	92868-2113
	EDMUND	WALLASCH	CA	91214-3728
	THOMAS J	WALSH	CA	92317-3413
	TRACY	WALTERS	CA	92308
	BARBARA	WARNER	KY	40033-9733
	BETSY	WEBB	MT	59715
LONE & HOLCOMB V MINING DISTS	TONY	WEBB	CA	92333
BUREAU OF LD MGT LVFO	JAMES F	WEIGAND	CA	95825-1846
	TERRY	WEINER	CA	92103
CALIFORNIA WIDERNESS COALITION	MARY	WELLS	CA	95616-3073
CA STATE LANDS COMMISSION	MARIANNE	WETZEL	CA	95825-8202
RANGE OF LIGHT TOIYABE CHAPT SIERRA CLUB	WILMA	WHEELER	CA	93546
SIERRA CLUB	CAROL A	WILEY	CA	92394
CONGRESSMEN HOWARD McKEON	SCOTT	WILK	CA	91355
PUBLIC LANDS ADVISORY BOARD	ATTN: SECRETARY	WILLEY	NV	89048-4924
CA4WDC	MR. CHUCK	WILLIAMS	CA	92371
PUBLIC EMPLOYEES FOR ENVIR RESPONSIBILITY	DR HOWARD G	WILSHIRE	CA	95472-9459
	MS JAYNE L	WOODRUFF	CA	91342

ORGANIZATION	FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	ST	ZIPCODE
	MR THOMAS E	YOUNG	CA	91977-4453
	MR PETER	ZADIS	NY	11411-1161
VICEROY GOLD CORP	MR ROBERT J	ZAEBST	NV	89046-0068
COLORADO RIVER BOARD OF CALIFORNIA	MR GERALD R	ZIMMERMAN	CA	91203-1035
EARTHJUSTICE	TED	ZUKOSKI	CO	80202-4303
RIVERA LIBRARY: GOVERNMENT PUBLICATIONS DEPT	UC RIVERSIDE		CA	92517-5900
DEATH VALLEY NATIONAL PARK			CA	92328
TECOPA COMMUNITY CENTER			CA	92389-0158
ASH MEADOWS NATIONAL WILDLIFE REFUGE			NV	89020
BOR-BLDG 67 D7925 LIBRARY			CO	80225
CA STATE CLEARINGHOUSE			CA	95814
CA STATE LANDS COMMISSION			CA	95825-8202
CITY OF LOS ANGELES - ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT			CA	90010-1914
FORT MOJAVE TRIBE-BIA			CA	92363-2229
FURNACE CREEK PUBLIC LIBRARY			CA	92328
MAMMOTH AREA MOUNTAIN BIKE ORGANIZ			CA	93546
PASADENA PUBLIC LIBRARY			CA	91101-1598
STAPP MINING			CA	92405-4711
TOWN OF PAHRUMP			NV	89060-4013
TRI BAL CHAIRPERSON			CA	93545-0747
UNIV OF CA LIBRARY GOVT DOCS			CA	94720-6000
US FISH & WILDLIFE SERVICE			CA	93003-7726
WASHOE TRIBE OF NEVADA & CALIFORNIA			NV	89410